



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE       | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO.                      |  |
|-------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| 10/614,392        | 07/07/2003        | John K. Fraser       | MA9658DIV2              | 5906                                  |  |
| 75                | 90 03/30/2006     |                      | EXAMINER                |                                       |  |
| Kenton R. Mullins |                   |                      | LANKFORD JR, LEON B     |                                       |  |
| Stout, Uxa, Buy   | an & Mullins, LLP |                      |                         | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |  |
| Suite 300         |                   |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER                          |  |
| 4 Venture         |                   |                      | 1651                    |                                       |  |
| Irvine, CA 92     | 618               |                      | DATE MAILED: 03/30/2006 |                                       |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| <del></del>  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |             |
|--|--|---|-------------|
|  | 10/614,392   | FRASER ET AL.   |             |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner   | Art Unit  |             |
|  | Leon Lankford  | 1651  |             |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app   | ears on the cover sheet with   | the correspondence address  | S           |
| Period for Reply   |  | :   |             |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a reposite apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT 36(a) accuse the application to become ABA | ATION. oly be timely filed  HS from the mailing date of this commun NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |             |
| Status   |  | :<br>. ·  |             |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 Ju   | ılv 2003   | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   |             |
| ,  | action is non-final.   |   | •           |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar  |  | rs, prosecution as to the mer   | rits is     |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E   | •  | •   |             |
|  |  | :   |             |
| Disposition of Claims  |  | :   |             |
| 4) Claim(s) 93-96 is/are pending in the application  | ٦.   | <b>:</b>  |             |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray  | vn from consideration.   | •   |             |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |  |   | •           |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>93-96</u> is/are rejected.   |  | :<br>• :<br>• :   |             |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |  |   |             |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  | r election requirement.  | . :   |             |
| Application Papers   |  | ;<br>;  |             |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine   | r.   | :   |             |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 July 2003</u> is/are: a)[   | <u></u>  | ed to by the Examiner.  | •           |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the  | •  |   |             |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti  |  | :<br>:  | 121(d).     |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex   | aminer. Note the attached  | Office Action or form PTO-15  | <b>52</b> . |
| Dulanita   |  | . :   |             |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |  |   |             |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  | priority under 35 U.S.C. §   | 119(a)-(d) or (f).  |             |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:   |  |   | •           |
| 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents  |  |   |             |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents  |  |   | 1           |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior   |  | eceived in this National Stag   | е           |
| application from the International Bureau  | ` ''   | popiyod   |             |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list   | oi me cermiea cobies not r   | iceived.  |             |
|  |  | . :   |             |
|  |  | <u>:</u>  | •           |
| Attachment(s)  |  | •   |             |
| 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | <i>i</i> —   | mmary (PTO-413)   |             |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)   |  | Mail Date  ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)   |             |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date  | 6) Other:  |   |             |

Application/Control Number: 10/614,392

Art Unit: 1651

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 93-96 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Applicant claims a method of treating a patient but it is unclear for what.

Applicant has failed to claim for what a patient is being treated and thus the claims do not particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Further, the "tissue removal system" is clearly critical to the claimed invention yet applicant does not detail; what this "system" is or how it is used. As claimed it is unclear if the system is a method or an apparatus.

It is unclear if the patient from whom the tissue is removed is the same as to who the cells are administered. Applicant should clarify.

The term "stem cells' in this particular case is indefinite. There are several types of multipotent cells which may be associated with adipose tissue and thus the intending

Application/Control Number: 10/614,392

Art Unit: 1651

scope of applicant's claim is unclear. Applicant should more clearly define the cells in question.

Please note that the language of a claim must make it clear what subject matter the claim encompasses to adequately delineate its "metes and bounds". See, e.g., the following decisions: In re Hammack, 427 F 2d. 1378, 1382, 166 USPQ 204, 208 (CCPA 1970); In re Venezia 530 F 2d. 956, 958, 189 USPQ 149, 151 (CCPA 1976); In re Goffe, 526 F 2d. 1393, 1397, 188 USPQ 131, 135 (CCPA 1975); In re Watson, 517 F 2d. 465, 477, 186 USPQ 11, 20 (CCPA 1975); In re Knowlton 481 F 2d. 1357, 1366, 178 USPQ 486, 492 (CCPA 1973). The courts have also indicated that before claimed subject matter can properly be compared to the prior art, it is essential to know what the claims do in fact cover. See, e.g., the following decisions: In re Steele, 305 F 2d. 859, 134 USPQ 292 (CCPA 1962); In re Moore 439 F 2d. 1232, 169 USPQ 236 (CCPA 1969); In re Merat, 519 F 2d. 1390, 186 USPQ 471 (CCPA 1975).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 93-96 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention lacks patentable utility.

Application/Control Number: 10/614,392

Art Unit: 1651

There is no specific and substantial utility to claimed invention. Applicant claims a "method of treating a patient" but does not claim to what end. What is being treated? A disease? A disorder? A treatment without a disclosed desired result can not be considered a specific substantial utility.

Claims 93-96 are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. Specifically, since the claimed invention is not supported by either a substantial, specific asserted utility or a well established utility for the reasons set forth above, one skilled in the art clearly would not know how to use the claimed invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leon Lankford whose telephone number is 571-272-0917. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu 7:30-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mike Wityshyn can be reached on 571-272-0926. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1651

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Leon B Lankford Jr Primary Examiner Art Unit 1651